

# 3 THE CITY

## DAY AFTER RAID AT VERSOVA RESIDENCE

# Deepika Padukone's manager fails to appear before NCB

EXPRESSNEWS SERVICE  
MUMBAI, OCTOBER 28

ACTOR DEEPIKA Padukone's manager Karishma Prakash, in whose Versova residence the Narcotics Control Bureau (NCB) conducted a raid on Tuesday and seized 1.7 gm charas, did not appear before the agency on Wednesday.

The NCB had issued a summons to Prakash to appear before them and wait for her to provide an explanation on the seizure of charas and at least two bottles of CBD oil from her residence.

An official said, "Prakash did not appear before us today (Wednesday). We will wait for her to join the investigation."

Sources said Prakash was consulting her lawyers to decide on further course of action. Prakash was summoned in connection with the FIR linked to the use of narcotics in Bollywood.

Prakash, an employee of Kwan talent management company, was earlier questioned after the NCB said it found her to be part of a WhatsApp group with Padukone and Jaya Saha, also a Kwan employee, where narcotics were discussed. Padukone told the agency that they were not talking about narcotics but about cigarettes.

In the same case, the NCB arrested one more person, identified as Nikhil Saldanha (30), for allegedly procuring narcotics from a

peddler arrested earlier in the case. This is the 25th arrest in the case.

## Contraband seized from DHL office

The NCB also seized 580 gm of a green and leafy substance, purported to be ganja, from the Mumbai office of DHL Express. The NCB said the contraband was destined for Qatar.

An official said, "The contraband was concealed in a submersible boring water pump. The material inside the pump was taken out and contraband was kept inside wrapped in aluminium foil and rolled with copper wire to avoid detection from screening."

The official added that it was an unclaimed seizure and the agency was verifying who the consignment was meant for.

## Two held with MD, heroin

The Mumbai Police took two arrests in connection with narcotics in South Mumbai. In the first case, the Azad Maidan unit of the anti-narcotics cell arrested one person with 300 gm of MD worth Rs 30 lakh.

In the second case, Unit IV of the Crime Branch arrested one person with 110 gm heroin worth Rs 20 lakh. Both accused were produced before the court and remanded in police custody.

## VISUALLY IMPAIRED STAFF NOT PAID SALARY AMID LOCKDOWN

# HC says BMC stand illegal, asks civic body to pay salary arrears in two instalments

OMKAR GOKHALE  
MUMBAI, OCTOBER 28

IN A relief to visually impaired employees of the Brihanmumbai Municipal Corporation (BMC) who were not paid salaries during the Covid-19 lockdown despite an exemption, the Bombay High Court on Wednesday directed the civic body to compensate the affected workers and clear the arrears in two instalments. The court also held that the civic body's decision not to pay salaries to the visually impaired employees for not attending work due to the pandemic was "illegal".

A division bench of Chief Justice Dipankar Datta and Justice Girish S Kulkarni passed ruling on a public interest litigation (PIL) filed by the National Association for the Blind (NAB) on behalf of nearly 250 visually impaired civic employees. The bench noted the BMC was responsible for its employees and was bound to pay them salaries though they could not attend duty due to no fault of theirs.

Advocate Uday Warunjikar, appearing for NAB, had submitted the central and state governments had issued notices on March 27 and April 21, respectively, asking all government and local authorities to exempt persons with dis-

abilities from essential service duty during the pandemic and treat their absence as special leave without loss of pay.

In its plea, the NAB said the BMC issued a circular on May 25 stating its disabled employees are given leave, as permitted under the Municipal Services Act, but as under the Act, if these employees have used up sanctioned leaves, they would not get a salary if they do not report to work. The PIL said the disabled employees were facing financial hardship as they were not getting their salaries.

Referring to a visually-impaired employee of the BMC, who did not receive any salary in July, the NAB said he was just one among the 250 such employees who were marked absent by the civic authority and refused a salary. The NAB had sought the high court's interference to declare the BMC's act of non-payment of salaries to the visually-impaired employees as arbitrary. It also sought court directions to the civic body to implement provisions of the Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016.

Senior advocate B V Bukhari, appearing for the BMC, submitted while the relaxation was

government circular was not applicable to civic employees. He had said relaxation did not mean the employees were to be paid for absent days.

On Wednesday, the bench held that the PIL was maintainable and BMC's decision not to pay salaries to visually impaired employees was "illegal". The court directed the BMC to calculate their benefits and pay them in two instalments, the first before Diwali, and the second within 45 days from the date of payment of the first instalment and disposed of the PIL.

Justice Datta, who authored the 63-page judgment, observed: "Whatever public conveniences and comforts normal employees without any physical disability might enjoy are obviously not available in equal measure to the physically disabled, which has to be borne in mind by every employer and looked at in a different perspective."

The bench added, "It is incomprehensible as to why the corporation instead of treading the path of giving relief to its physically disabled employees should have taken a different path entailing adverse consequences for them

without plausible explanation. Such discrimination can hardly be justified and accepted."

The bench said the action of the civic corporation to withhold monetary benefits to the physically disabled employees with retrospective effect, as assailed, was illegal. "We direct the corporation to ensure that none of the physically disabled employees, who have not reported for duty during the pandemic, are denied pay benefits which they would have been entitled to, but for the pandemic and had they reported for duty."

"If relief is to be denied only on the ground that the corporation's purse would be pinched, we have no doubt in our minds that it would amount to the validation of acts ultra vires the Constitution. We, thus, find no justifiable reason to accept BMC's contention that financial burden is at all a sound reason that could stand in our way of granting relief claimed in the PIL petition," it noted.

The court also accepted NAB's argument that BMC by the "challenged action has shown its inhuman and insensitive face, much to the detriment and prejudice of its physically disabled employees".

**SBI HOME LOANS**

**INTEREST RATE REDUCED!**

**THIS FESTIVE SEASON, ENJOY CONCESSIONS ON RATE OF INTEREST (ROI) AND PROCESSING FEE.**

**HOME LOAN @ 6.90% ONWARDS\***

**100% WAIVER ON PROCESSING FEE\***

For more details, visit [bank.sbi](http://bank.sbi) or call 1800 11 2018

## BPCL holds vigilance week

Mumbai: The Bharat Petroleum Corporation Limited (BPCL) vigilance week was inaugurated Tuesday by the corporation's chief vigilance officer, Sunil Jain, at its Ballard Estate office. Enforcement Directorate (Mumbai) Joint Director Satyabrata Kumar was the chief guest at the event.

An 'integrity pledge' was taken by BPCL officials present at the venue and other employees who attended it through a webcast from offices in and around Mumbai.

Addressing the event, Jain said vigilance week was a time to look back "at the steps taken so far, evaluate their effectiveness and take corrective measures".

In his keynote address, Kumar shared insights into cases

of money laundering and their impact on the economy and the general public at large. He also outlined the areas that public sector organisations need to take care needs while disbursing resources.

On Tuesday, K Padmakar, C&MD BPCL, Rajeswari P, chief general manager (vigilance) BPCL, and other senior officials of the corporation attended the event.

The Vigilance Awareness Week will be observed from October 27 to November 2 with the theme "Satark Bharat, Samridhh Bharat - Vigilant India, Prosperous India" and a host of activities to spread awareness and promote vigilance across the corporation's employees. **ENS**

## Rhea's accusations against Sushant's sisters presumptive and speculative: CBI to HC

EXPRESSNEWS SERVICE  
MUMBAI, OCTOBER 28

THE CBI told the Bombay High Court on Wednesday actor Rhea Chakraborty's accusation that two sisters of deceased actor Sushant Singh Rajput obtained fake medical prescription for him "was presumptive and speculative" and such speculation cannot be on the basis of an FIR.

The agency was replying to a plea filed by Sushant's sisters Priyanka Singh and Meetu Singh, seeking quashing of an FIR lodged against them after Rhea accused them of forging and procuring fake medical prescription for Sushant. Rhea had Tuesday sought a plea filed by the two sisters, through advocate Madhav Thorat, be dismissed.

Facing probe by central agencies in connection with Sushant's death on June 14, Rhea had on September 7 filed a police complaint against Priyanka, Meetu and a doctor from Delhi's Ram Manohar Lohia hospital for allegedly getting the actor

psychiatric drugs without consultation and by using a forged prescription.

In their plea, the sisters had said Rhea, as per the FIR, had made "contradictory statements" about Sushant's death. Pending hearing, they had sought interim relief to stay proceedings arising out of the FIR and restrain the prosecution from taking any coercive action against them.

Agreeing with the sisters, the CBI submitted, "It is correct that if the allegations mentioned in the FIR, prima facie, do not constitute an offense of making out a case against the accused, the FIR can be quashed by constitutional courts. Pertinently, the allegation levelled in the instant FIR are mostly presumptive and speculative." The agency added it is probing a complaint filed by Sushant's father K K Singh against Rhea and her family members for allegedly abetting the 34-year-old actor's suicide. It said the (Mumbai) police should have conducted preliminary inquiry before registering an FIR against the sisters.

The current FIR has been registered in

complete "disregard" to the provisions of Criminal Procedure Code, it said. "It is a settled law that two FIRs cannot be registered on the same cause of action. The CBI is already investigating the causes relating to the death of Sushant Singh Rajput and all aspects surrounding the same. In view of this, it was expected from Mumbai Police to forward the complaint received from Rhea Chakraborty to the CBI instead of registering FIR itself," the affidavit said.

It added registration of FIR on the same facts and cause of action is both unwarranted and not allowed under the law. Thus, the FIR is vitiated and bad in law, the CBI said. "If Rhea was aware of the mobile phone chat between Rajput and Priyanka in June, 2020, (via) which Priyanka allegedly sent him a medical prescription, then Rhea should not have kept silent till September... We are conducting a probe without being hindered by any external factors in an impartial manner," the agency added. The court, which will hear the case on November 4, directed the Mumbai Police to respond to the plea.

### DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER EDUCATION

M.S. Building, Bangalore - 560 001.

E-No.: ED/130/UBV/2020 Date : 28-10-2020

#### Notification - Selection of Vice-Chancellor of Bengaluru city University

- The Government of Karnataka invites applications for the selection of Vice-Chancellor of Bengaluru City University, Bengaluru as per sec. 14(2) of Karnataka State University Act, 2000 from eligible distinguished Academicians/Professors with following credentials
  - A person of the highest level of competence, integrity, morals and institutional commitment.
  - A distinguished academician, with a minimum of ten years' of experience as Professor in a University or ten years' of experience in a reputed research and/or academic administrative organization with proof of having demonstrated academic leadership.
  - As provided in the sec 14(5) and 14(6) of Karnataka State University Act, 2000, the Vice-Chancellor shall, subject to the pleasure of the Chancellor and the State Government, hold the office for a maximum period of 4 years or until he attains the age of Sixty Seven years whichever is earlier. Consequently, a person to be appointed as Vice Chancellor shall have clear four years tenure.
- Persons interested in submitting their candidacy for the Vice-Chancellor of Bengaluru City University, should make hard copies of the application in triplicate duly filled along with the academic, research credentials and administrative experience, achievements & other details in the proforma prescribed by the UGC within 15 days at the latest from the date of publication of this advertisement, to:- **Principal Secretary to Government, Higher Education Department, 6th Floor, Gate No. 02, M.S. Building, Bangalore - 560 001.**
- Single soft copy of the application in PDF format should be mailed to e-mail : [kgsheduni2020@gmail.com](mailto:kgsheduni2020@gmail.com).
- In support of unblemished career and undoubted integrity, the applicant who is retired from service, should file a self attested certificate to the effect that no departmental/criminal enquiry is instituted / pending against him or no enquiry is culminated in penalties. Whereas, in case of applicant in service, he should file a certificate of his employer in this regard. The concerned will be subjected to stringent action if certificates are found to be false. Further, the applications not accompanying the above mentioned certificates will not be considered and processed.
- The application proforma is available on the website of Bengaluru City University, Bengaluru Karnataka.
- Incomplete applications and applications received after the stipulated time limit will not be entertained. This office is not responsible for postal or other kinds of delays.
- The candidature of those who indulge in undue influencing on the selection process through unethical means will stand cancelled.

Sd/-  
**Principal Secretary to Government  
Higher Education Department**

DIPR/DDU/1490/4Winds/2020-21

**Have Covid-19 Symptoms ? Contact, Apathamitra Helpline 14410**

## BAJAJ FINANCE LIMITED

CIN-L65910MH1987PLC042961  
Registered Office: Akurdi, Pune 411 035  
Corporate Office: 4th Floor, Bajaj Finserv Corporate Office, Off Pune-Ahmednagar Road, Viman Nagar, Pune 411 014

### FIXED DEPOSIT SCHEMES

'FAAA/Stable' rating by CRISIL AND 'MAAA (Stable)' rating by ICRA.  
The abovementioned ratings indicate highest degree of safety with regard to timely payment of interest and principal on the instrument.

Rate of Interest per annum valid up to ₹5 Crores per deposit (w.e.f. November 02, 2020) for NRIs, OCIs and PIOs						
Tenor in months	Minimum deposit (in Rs.)	Cumulative	Non-Cumulative			
			Monthly	Quarterly	Half Yearly	Annual
12 - 23	25,000	6.10%	5.94%	5.97%	6.01%	6.10%
24 - 35		6.30%	6.13%	6.16%	6.20%	6.30%
36		6.60%	6.41%	6.44%	6.49%	6.60%

a) Funds will be accepted from NRO (Non-Resident Ordinary) bank account only and the amount must not represent inward remittance or transfer from NRE/FCNR (B) account.

b) Individual depositor or primary depositor, falling under special category of Senior citizens (i.e. persons more than 60 years of age, subject to provision of proof of age) will be eligible for Additional interest at the rate of upto 0.25% p.a. on per Deposit amount of up to ₹5 (five) crore;

c) Depositor(s) (Individual depositor only and does not include entities) intending to renew the FDR upon maturity will be eligible for additional rate of upto 0.10% p.a. for deposit size up to ₹5 Crore. Additional rate will be over and above applicable rate of interest.

d) Rate of interest for bulk deposits for more than ₹5 crore per deposit may vary from the published card rate and will be decided on case to case basis but within the cap on Rate of Interest specified by the Reserve Bank of India.

e) The Company will make repayment of deposit on the date of maturity of such deposit unless it receives any request for renewal within the prescribed period before the date of maturity. The payment will be made through NEFT or RTGS and/or account payee cheque (in case of rejection of transfer by NEFT/RTGS) to the bank account of the depositor mentioned in the deposit application.

f) The depositor(s) should submit a written request for renewal of the Deposit (or a portion thereof) so as to reach BFL atleast 3 (three) business days before maturity date of the Deposit. The written request can be submitted at nearest branch of the Company or sending by post/courier to the Company or to the independent financial advisors or national distributors empaneled with the Company. No requests for renewal of Deposit shall be accepted post the above-mentioned period. Request for renewal should be accompanied by the Deposit application form duly filled in and signed by all the Deposit holders. Renewal of Deposits will be subject to the rate of interest and other terms & conditions prevailing on the date of renewal. FDR of the existing deposit may not be required at the time of renewal of deposits as it stands null & void post its maturity date.

g) In case where the depositor(s) has opted for renewal of deposit through deposit application form, however, wishes to cancel the renewal request, the depositor(s) should submit a specific written request so as to reach the Company atleast 3 (three) business days before maturity date of the Deposit. The written request can be submitted at nearest branch of the Company or sending by post/courier to the Company or to the independent financial advisors or national distributors empaneled with the Company. No requests shall be accepted post the above-mentioned period.

Particulars to be furnished as per the Non-Banking Financial Companies & Miscellaneous Non-Banking Companies (Advertisement) Rules, 1977 as amended.

**A. Name of the Company: BAJAJ FINANCE LTD.**

**B. Date of Incorporation: 25th March, 1987**

**C. Profits/Dividends:**

Profits of the Company before and after making provisions for tax, for the three financial years immediately preceding the date of the advertisement and the dividends declared by the Company in respect of the said years.

Financial Year ended on	Profit (Standalone)		Dividend %
	Before Tax	After Tax	
31.03.2018	4,056.36	2,646.70	200
31.03.2019	6,035.30	3,890.34	300
31.03.2020	6,808.13	4,881.12	500

**D. Brief particulars of the management of the Company:**

The Company is managed by the Managing Director, subject to the control and supervision of the Board of Directors. The Managing Director is entrusted with necessary powers for managing the business and affairs of the Company.

**E. Names, addresses and occupations of Directors:**

NAME	ADDRESS	OCCUPATION
Shri Rahul Bajaj (Chairman)	Bajaj Vihar Colony, Mumbai-Pune Road, Akurdi, Pune 411 035.	Industrialist
Shri Sanjay Bajaj (Vice Chairman)	Bajaj Vihar Colony, Mumbai-Pune Road, Akurdi, Pune 411 035.	Industrialist
Shri Rajeev Jain (Managing Director)	D-2, Ivy Glen, Margold Premises, Kalyani Nagar, Pune 411 004.	Service
Shri Madhav Bajaj (Non-Executive Director)	Bangalore No. 3, Bajaj Vihar Colony, Mumbai-Pune Road, Akurdi, Pune 411 035.	Industrialist
Shri Rajni Bajaj (Non-Executive Director)	34/35, Yog Koregaon Park, Lane No. 2, Pune 411 001.	Industrialist
Shri Dipak Poddar (Non-Executive and Independent Director)	Brij Kuti, Bungta Lane, 17th floor, Off Napean Sea Road, Mumbai 400 026.	Industrialist
Shri Ranjan Sangh (Non-Executive and Independent Director)	Flat No. 21, Misty Court, 4th Floor, Dindhau Vasthu Rd, Mumbai 400 020.	Business

The above text of advertisement has been issued on the authority and in the name of the Board of Directors and has been approved by the Board of Directors at its Meeting held on 21 July 2020 and copy of the same signed by the majority of Directors has been delivered to the Reserve Bank of India for registration.

Pune  
26 October 2020

**HOW TO INVEST:**

The amount should be deposited only by cheque or debit card made payable to 'BAJAJ FINANCE LTD - ACCOUNT NUMBER 00070350006738' and credited 'Account Payee only'. The cheques should be payable at par and CTS compliant. Application Form along with the necessary remittance should be sent to the Distributors of the Fixed Deposit Schemes of BFL or be submitted at any of the BFL branches. For NRE/FCNR, please use the following details: Beneficiary Name: Bajaj Finance Ltd., Account type: current account, Bank Name: HDFC Bank Ltd, IFSC: HDFC0000007, Bank branch: 885, Bhandarkar Road, Pune-411004

Write to us at [td@bajajfinserv.in](mailto:td@bajajfinserv.in) or call us on 020 71505275

Contingent Liabilities (Standalone)			
Particulars	As at 31 March 2020	As at 31 March 2019	As at 31 March 2019
Disputed claims against the Company not acknowledged as debts	44.18	28.04	
VAT matters under appeal	4.39	4.39	
ESI matters under appeal	5.14	5.14	
Service tax matters under appeal			
Equity			
Equity share capital	119.99	115.37	
Reserves	31,693.22	19,448.26	
Total assets	31,813.21	19,563.63	
Total liabilities and equity	1,38,003.57	1,08,499.87	
Equity			
Equity share capital	119.99	115.37	
Reserves	31,693.22	19,448.26	
Total assets	31,813.21	19,563.63	
Total liabilities and equity	1,38,003.57	1,08,499.87	
Contingent Liabilities (Standalone)			
Particulars	As at 31 March 2020	As at 31 March 2019	As at 31 March 2019
Disputed claims against the Company not acknowledged as debts	44.18	28.04	
VAT matters under appeal	4.39	4.39	
ESI matters under appeal	5.14	5.14	
Service tax matters under appeal			
Equity			
Equity share capital	119.99	115.37	
Reserves	31,693.22	19,448.26	
Total assets	31,813.21	19,563.63	
Total liabilities and equity	1,38,003.57	1,08,499.87	

**LIABILITIES AND EQUITY**

**Liabilities**

**Financial liabilities**

Payables

Trade payables

Total outstanding dues of micro enterprises and small enterprises

636.76

547.25

Total outstanding dues of creditors other than micro enterprises and small enterprises

179.46

218.64

Other payables

Total outstanding dues of micro enterprises and small enterprises

179.46

218.64

Total outstanding dues of creditors other than micro enterprises and small enterprises

179.46

218.64

Debt securities

41,713.77

39,048.97

Borrowings (other than debt securities)

36,923.32

29,970.67

Subordinated

21,427.10

13,931.01

Deposited debts

4,141.75

4,139.07

Other financial liabilities

669.90

1,411.81

Total financial liabilities

105,692.36

88,229.86

**Non-financial liabilities**

Current tax liabilities (net)

52.30

22.37

Provisions

78.87

68.88

Other non-financial liabilities

367.03

315.13

Total non-financial liabilities

498.00

406.38

**Equity**

Equity share capital

119.99

115.37

Reserves

31,693.22

19,448.26

Total assets

31,813.21

19,563.63

Total liabilities and equity

1,38,003.57

1,08,499.87

Contingent Liabilities (Standalone)

Particulars

As at 31 March 2020

As at 31 March 2019

As at 31 March 2019

Disputed claims against the Company not acknowledged as debts

44.18

28.04

VAT matters under appeal

4.39

4.39

ESI matters under appeal

5.14

5.14

Service tax matters under appeal

Equity

Equity share capital

119.99

115.37

Reserves

31,693.22

19,448.26

Total assets

31,813.21

19,563.63

Total liabilities and equity

1,38,003.57

1,08,499.87

Contingent Liabilities (Standalone)

Particulars

As at 31 March 2020

As at 31 March 2019

As at 31 March 2019

Disputed claims against the Company not acknowledged as debts

44.18

28.04

VAT matters under appeal

4.39

4.39

ESI matters under appeal

5.14

5.14

Service tax matters under appeal

Equity

Equity share capital

119.99

115.37

Reserves

31,693.22

19,448.26

Total assets

31,813.21

19,563.63

Total liabilities and equity

1,38,003.57

1,08,499.87

By order of the Board of Directors  
for Bajaj Finance Limited  
Rajeev Jain, Managing Director  
IDN: 01550158



